

**Lower Snake River District (LSRD)
Resource Advisory Council (RAC) Meeting
BLM-Lower Snake River District Offices
May 26, 2004**

Revised Minutes

Council Members Present: Don Weilmunster, Donna Griffin, Sandra Mitchell, Gil Green, Bill Platts, John Robison, Russ Heughins, Rick Raymondi, Ted Howard, Chris Salove, Brenda Richards.

Council Members Absent: Kenneth Reid, Robert Amidon, Bob Baker.

BLM Advisory Present: Glen Secrist, District Manager, LSRD; MJ Byrne, Public Affairs Specialist and RAC Coordinator, LSRD.

Other BLM staff Present: Mitchell A. Juarena, John Hatch, Jenna Whitlock, Eddie Guerrero, Rosey Thomas.

Other: Steve Goddard, Carl Ray, Pat Cudmore, Becky Desmond, note-taker.

Statements attributed to individuals are not direct quotes unless so indicated.

Council Business, General Information, Opening Remarks, and Certification of Minutes: Chairman Weilmunster called the meeting to order at 9:15 am. Rick Raymondi briefly discussed an April 16, 2004 Department of Interior Science seminar on Range-wide Conservation Assessment of Greater Sage-Grouse and Sage-Grouse Habitats, presented by Bill Sexton and Steve Knick. Raymondi commented on the high quality of the GS mapping at the seminar, and said it focused on a global assessment of sage-grouse, listing impacts and disturbances on the GS system. Natural impacts included fire, climate change, juniper growth, and other invasive species. Man-made disturbances included agriculture, urbanization, human population growth, grazing, and activities associated with oil, gas, and power permits. No recommendations were given at this seminar, just a lot of data to be used as agencies see fit. **ACTION:** MJ will get information from the presenters for RAC members.

Steve Goddard commented that he had attended a meeting just concerning oil and gas permits on public lands. He said the National Wildlife Federation provided information on leased and productive acres in oil and gas. Russ Heughins also commented on the “less-than-optimistic” outlook for sage grouse from oil and gas leasing. He referred to a letter from the Idaho Birdhunters to Kathleen Clarke expressing unhappiness with the condition of the rangeland habitat in areas where grazing is permitted. He said that volunteers from the Birdhunters, the Idaho Wildlife Federation, and the Ada County Fish & Game League (not affiliated with Fish and Game--parens notetaker’s) had started an on-going monitoring effort in critical grazing areas in Owyhee County and had made a report to Clarke. The report is available at IWF@idahowildlife.org.

Glen Secrist reported that the BLM was working “feverishly” on a “data call”-- a way to gather and formulate all available data on sage grouse in a usable way. The data call deadline is April 27, and practically coincides with the June 4 deadline in the sage grouse

listing document. He also said it was useful for him to have attended the national RAC chairman meetings in Phoenix, and that he would try to incorporate some of the good ideas he heard there.

Bill Platts then moved and Russ seconded that the minutes from the Feb. 24, 2004 RAC meeting be certified, with the inclusion of Gil Green in the section titled "Council Members Absent." The group approved the motion. Bill had two comments on Action items from those minutes. Page 6 had indicated that Glen would report to the RAC subcommittee on rangeland standards and guides by March on the BLM's Rangeland S&G review, but this did not happen. Glen said the report was not quite finished yet. Bill said the action item on Page 5 was completed.

A lengthy discussion followed concerning the communication route between BLM, the RAC, and the RAC subcommittees. Bill said the RAC subcommittee on Rangeland Standards and Guides should have been more involved with the review. Glen said this was an internal review only concerned with statewide field office consistency of interpretation of the standards and guidelines and was not an attempt to actually change any of them. He said nothing had changed from Erv Crowley's report to the RAC in February--only that there had been a memo pointing out the importance of consistency. Bill wondered when the RAC would be more actively involved. Donna Griffin said that the RAC needs more time if it is to be asked for input and recommendations, since it meets only quarterly. MJ said that Erv had asked for this review over a year ago and had asked for RAC members to participate. RAC had made Brenda Richards the chairman of this subcommittee (Brenda arrived after this discussion took place.) MJ asked for advice on how to reconcile information gathering and disbursement with the irregular timing of RAC and RAC subcommittee meetings and the daily business of the BLM.

Bill said there should not be a problem if the RAC abides by its charter. He said the RAC can only deal with the Designated Federal Official. He said the BLM has the prerogative of not asking the RAC for help, and the RAC has the prerogative of not replying. Glen thought this sounded too complicated, and wanted more open communication. Bill said communication could be more open from a public citizen's point of view, but not from an official RAC point of view, because of the way its charter is written. Glen said he "just wants to know what you (the RAC) think." Bill said individual comments were dangerous because of conflicting individual views, and that all views would have to be given equal opportunity. A view can only be a committee view if the committee agrees. Russ agreed, and said that if individuals can work with the BLM, why have a RAC? He thought it was time to fine-tune the RAC and make it better. He said this has come to light because some people are acting individually, but in the name of the RAC. He said the RAC often does not have quorums, because members don't attend the meetings.

Sandra Mitchell asked if the request for comments on the S&G's was directed to the RAC or to individuals. Glen said he wasn't asking for comments on the S&G's themselves, that he just needed to know how to apply them consistently across the state, and that it was an internal review. He said that if he had wanted a definitive recommendation from the RAC he would have asked for it. He said if the RAC wants an

official committee review and action, he would put the report on hold until RAC has the time for it. **ACTION:** Glen will contact Brenda and get the RAC subcommittee involved in some way. **ACTION:** MJ will be sure to delineate when comments are being asked for formally or informally. She wondered if she could ask for special meetings. Bill said full meetings were not always required, and that she could contact subcommittee chairmen to set up subcommittee meetings. (More on this topic later in today's meeting.)

Ted Howard added, "For the record, the tribes also want the opportunity to look at the (rangeland standards and guides) report."

Report on District RAC Chairs meeting with BLM Director K Lynn Bennett, and the National Chair's Meeting: Don Weilmunster. Don said the meeting was a good one and a tape was available. He did say there was little or no discussion of Indian nation affairs and wondered why, adding that "this RAC cares." Glen said there was representation from the Blackfoot tribes at the Montana RAC.

Hot topics, upcoming field trips, and report on BLM-ID organizational refinement: Glen Secrist. Glen said that OHV's were a hot-button issue everywhere, and that everyone was struggling with how to manage the tremendous surge of outdoor recreation of this type. Don also said that the wild horse problem in Nevada was raised several times, and is a big wedge between the BLM and the permittees there. The numbers of horses are cyclical, and horses that are gathered, but not-adoptable, are tremendously expensive to keep. BLM is very low on money to address this issue, but is required to care for these horses throughout their lifetime. Sandra wondered if these not-adoptable horses could be gelded, but Don said this is unacceptable to the wild horse advocates, as is slaughtering them. So far the Idaho wild horse population is manageable, he said. Jenna Whitlock said that the Idaho horses are "quite adoptable" because of their color and personality. It was noted that it costs \$3/day to feed one wild horse for life.

MJ said the agenda and the talking points for the national chairs meeting were in each council member's meeting notebook. She also passed around a draft of the orientation notebook for new RAC members. She noted that there was no mention of Indian affairs in it yet, and then asked for informal feedback.

Glen summarized Idaho-BLM organizational refinement: (1) the creation of the new Twin Falls District which will include the Jarbidge Field Office; (2) the re-establishment of the old Bruneau Field Office to be located in Boise, and; (3) the move of the Owyhee Field Office from Boise to Marsing. The intent is to have these changes completed or well-underway by October 1. In addition, Glen will be selecting new field managers for the Four Rivers and Owyhee Field Offices (Jenna will continue as Bruneau Field Manager). Finally there will be a name change on October 1: the Lower Snake River District will become the Boise District.

A 15-minute video of Kathleen Clarke's speech at the national chairs meeting was then shown. She focused on three priorities: 1) BLM must manage the health and production of the nation's public lands. She predicted that WATER will become the big issue of this century; 2) BLM must improve access and eliminate barriers for responsible citizen multiple use of the public lands. It must provide for oil and gas access, as these are also assets of the public. She noted that any use only takes place with the public's permission, and; 3) BLM must promote public participation, partnership, and stewardship in the management of public lands. BLM cannot do it all. BLM must become entrepreneurial and creative in its management. She noted that while the checkerboard pattern of public and private land ownership is troublesome to manage, it is actually the land's greatest strength. Ownership has to have a shared vision and be less territorial in concept. She said RAC's are critical in this effort and that the BLM's mission of public lands management MUST surpass politics, also noting that it has taken her almost three years to establish her staff.

Juniper Management Workshop, hosted by RAC on July 22, 2004: Co-chairs Sandra Mitchell and Glen Secrist. Before this discussion, Sage Clark, Marcy Williams, and Rosey Thomas reported on a meeting dealing with the Juniper Mountain Area Restoration Plan as part of a 20-year-effort for restoration on the Owyhee Plateau. Jenna gave an overview, and there is an inclusion and maps in the member's meeting notebook. Rick Miller and Steve Bunting were the speakers. She said the plan is general at this point and wanted public feedback. She wants a consensus on the objectives. **ACTION:** MJ will collect and copy comments from the meeting for RAC members.

Glen then shared some thoughts on the upcoming juniper workshop: involvement of out-of-work loggers; possibility of juniper products; opportunities for economic offset and benefit. Brenda suggested juniper craftsmen could produce picture frames and furniture. Ted Howard said that tribal people would be interested in post-cutting. John Robison said that from a conservationist's point-of-view, the workshop should focus on restoration of an ecological landscape, not go overboard on products. Russ was concerned that proper methodology be used for restoration, that a savannah-like landscape was historical, and that we needed to be mindful of sage grouse. Bill thought the fire area at the top of Juniper Mountain area was a good example of where no vegetative under-story is growing back to fill in. Glen disagreed with that assessment and said he had seen a lot of re-growth in that area. He suggested an overnight field trip on June 25-26. Brenda agreed with Glen and said there was a lot of plant re-growth, and cautioned that all science--including drought cycles--be taken into consideration when assessing re-growth. She advised against always "going to management" without taking natural weather cycles into account. **ACTION:** Sandra will keep RAC members informed by e-mail of the subcommittee's progress in planning the juniper workshop, and will set a meeting date for the subcommittee. Russ asked Sandra to make a contact with the Statewide Sage Grouse group.

(Two RAC Members had to leave the meeting early, which meant a quorum would no longer be present, so before they departed, the meeting returned to the topic of communication between RAC subcommittees, the total RAC, and agencies.)

Don asked the RAC if it would empower Brenda's subcommittee on Rangeland Standards and Guides to meet with the BLM for discussion and feedback. Brenda commended K Lynn Bennett for instigating the consistency review and reported that her committee had been briefed at a RAC meeting and had subsequently met twice more. She said the BLM report by Erv Crowley was not quite yet ready for RAC review, but that he had e-mailed MJ with a draft copy and had suggested an April 15 date for feedback. She said the subcommittee must review in a timely manner so that BLM can move forward, and asked for the same kind of empowerment given to the RAC subcommittee on sage grouse for review and decision-making. Glen offered to make copies of the report available to the rest of the RAC today, and then comments could be given to Brenda, who will report to Don. **ACTION:** Chris Salove moved and Jon Robison seconded to empower the RAC subcommittee on Rangeland Standards and Guides to review and compile comments received from and by RAC members and then send them to the RAC chair in a timely manner. An amendment was added which specified that the chair will then send the comments to the Designated Federal Official. The group passed the amendment, then, passed the main motion.

(Ron Kay brought in the most recent copy of the report on Rangeland Standards and Guides after lunch. **ACTION:** Members are to review and comment by the 3rd week of June or 1st week of July. **ACTION:** Brenda will phone or e-mail each RAC member to get these comments.)

Working Lunch--Presentation and discussion on Ranch Level Socioeconomic Impacts of Public Land Grazing Policy Alternatives in Owyhee and Other Rural Counties of Idaho. J.D. Wulfhorst, University of Idaho, led this discussion and presented a power point outline of the study. (Study appears in meeting notebook.) Wulfhorst said this study was a reworking of the original one done by Aaron Harp. It basically compared different types of ranching operations with different grazing leases, and showed economic effects on them when leases were altered or taken away. In addition, the study showed how the rural counties' economies were affected on a per cow basis. The group had several questions about various charts and results. Wulfhorst urged the RAC members to read the entire study, particularly the socio-cultural effects on rural county life, which are illustrated by personal interview comments at the back of the study. He said they were profound.

Native American Sovereignty and Trust Obligations--videos and presentations by Ted Howard, Shoshone-Paiute Tribes Cultural Resources Director, and LSRD-RAC member. Ted thanked Don Weilmunster for providing him the opportunity for this presentation, which he said he'd been requesting over the past three years. He said the U.S. Constitution recognizes three sovereign positions: national, state, and tribal. He said tribal sovereignty requires certain treaty/trusts and obligations from the U.S. government. He showed BLM's National Training Center video "Sacred Domain" and a second tape--specific to the Duck Valley Reservation--produced by Lewis and Clark State College about a year ago.

Ted discussed federal laws and regulations relating to sovereignty (which are also in the meeting notebook). He said only the tribes can determine whether federal land proposals have tribal implications. He said that consulting with the tribal government is a legal requirement, and mentioned that the tribes were not a part of the currently-being-discussed Owyhee Initiative. Brenda asked how the tribes and the county were handling this. Ted answered that the county and the tribes had sat down together once, and that tribal concerns were not addressed. He referred to Prof. Wulforth's "emotional" socio-cultural effects part of his presentation and said it was the same for the tribes. He said it was hard for the Indians to violate their own laws in order to obey other laws imposed upon them. He said that "multiple-use" for Indians refers to all of creation--every plant, every animal. He said Shoshone-Paiute tribes see the habitat is deteriorating and the whole ecology of the land has changed. He said the tribes are left out of many decisions, but that they certainly have the legal power to stop many programs because of their legal standing. He said everyone has to give a little--the recreationists, hunters, ranchers--and he thought it would help if all new RAC members were given a presentation of laws and regulations pertaining to federally recognized tribes and tribal sovereignty for better understanding. Brenda asked specifically how she should get the tribes' view of the rangeland standards and guides report. **ACTION:** Ted said he would review the document and take tribal concerns to the monthly Wings and Roots meetings with the BLM, saying that that was how sovereign tribal concerns were handled. **ACTION:** MJ requested a copy of Ted's first tape to send to other RAC's. Don again suggested a RAC meeting in Duck Valley in the future and Ted will work on this.

Subcommittee Reports

1. Sage-Grouse Habitat Management-National Strategy, Statewide Plan Update.

John Augsburger, ISO wildlife biologist, said that the intent is to have a state plan that everyone can sign off on and that it has been in the works for the past two and one-half years. He said the BLM, the Forest Service, and Fish and Game developed an interagency personnel agreement to work on the plan, including himself, Tom Hemker, Signe Sather-Blaire, Paul Makela, and Susan Giannettino. The data call for the plan ended today, and is part of the 90-day listing put out by Fish and Wildlife for sage grouse that calls for data from all affected and interested parties. The 90-day listing omitted other related species, and a separate statement will address those.

Tom Hemker, ID F&G, gave an overview of the plan, and said that ten petitions for listing had been received. The petitions were divided into those from Boise extending west, and those from Boise extending east. He said there were two basic species of sage grouse: the Gunnison, which is 1/3 smaller and has tail bands, and then the Greater sage-grouse, which includes everything else. Idaho's population is all Greater sage-grouse. Fish and Wildlife will not accept petitions that name "eastern" or "western" species, because they do not exist. The one petition that did name "Greater" sage-grouse was 500 pages long, well-documented, and resulted in the positive finding which then allowed the 90-day listing. F & W has until January 2005 to make a final decision on listing.

Hemker went on to discuss the history of conservation planning in the Idaho Department of Fish and Game (F&G), which in 1995 occupied 10% of its time, but which now occupies 80%. The timeline was this:

1996--Statewide Task Force assembled.

1997--Statewide Plan adopted (the first in the West).

1998--local working groups formed; currently there are six.

2003--formal statewide advisory committee formed with 15 voting members officially nominated and recommended.

2003-2004--statewide plan re-write.

Hemker said the objectives of the statewide planning group are to: improve communication statewide; help decide what needs to be done; find the resources to accomplish those; and help update the sage grouse management plan. He said there is also regional planning being done that includes 11 western states and is called the Interagency Sage-Grouse Framework Team. It includes state BLM's, the USFS, and the USFWS--all trying to coordinate efforts and write a range-wide plan by the end of the year. A conservation assessment is currently being performed to pull all measurements and reports together. The 2004 schedule calls for: June--final input from all Local Working Group's; September--drafting of the Idaho plan; December--submit Idaho plan to IFG Commission for approval and inclusion in the rangewide plan submitted to USFWS.

Questions: Jon R. asked what role the RAC plays. Hemker said he wants all public comments submitted to him. **ACTION:** MJ will schedule the RAC sage grouse subcommittee to compile and submit comments to Don Weilmunster.

Jenna asked if local working group plans that become "PC"-compliant will be part of the state plan. Hemker said they "would take what they can get." Jenna then asked what BLM is doing. Augsburger said it was using its "interim management guidelines" during this time. He referred to Birds of the Sagebrush Sea as actually having some of the best management practices around.

Hemker said that the hunting history of sage grouse was as follows:

1953-1965--very conservative; 1965-1989--variable seasons established; 1990-1995--3/6, 30-day statewide season; 1996-2001--standard seasons and 50% reduction statewide; 2002-2003--some areas reopened, but with a curlew closure. He said that 70 to 80% of the harvest happens during the first few days, no matter how long the season. He said "what we know" is that a 5-10% harvest is okay; 75% of the harvest occurs during the first two days; and that the Idaho harvest has been reduced by 70-80% overall. Wing collection is extremely important for data, and in some areas there are 50 years' worth of data collected on chick survivability. He said large and small factors impact sage grouse. Large factors were weather (short-term) and habitat (long-term). Smaller factors include hunters and predators. Ants are the favorite food for chicks, and springtime is crucial. Other questions: Bill Platts asked if hunting seasons would be postponed and Hemker said F & G is still considering but probably wouldn't change this year. Ted asked who the tribes should contact about illegal antelope blinds. Hemker said a letter should be

sent to the chairman or director of the F & G Commission. He said there was also much controversy over four-wheelers and ATV's used as hunting vehicles. **ACTION:** Hemker will have a policy-level administrator send a letter to the RAC that outlines specific F & G regulations regarding hunting with ATV's. Glen said that the BLM operates under land-use plans regarding road closures. Bill again asked that something be done about the antelope blind problem and said that some are being built that have sleeping platforms and water-barrel springs. Mitch Juarena said these violations should be reported, and that there were requirements for legal blinds to have ID's and phone numbers.

2. Resource Management Plans. Mary Jones provided a power point handout to RAC members showing the process, public meetings, setting of draft alternatives, and the timeline for summary statements. **ACTION:** She said the RAC would get a letter detailing all the above before anyone else, and that she would contact Gil Green's RAC subcommittee on resource management plans.

3. Rangeland Standards and Guides. Brenda Richards said this was covered enough earlier in the meeting.

4. OHV and Transportation Management. Jon R. referred to his summary sheet provided in the meeting notebook. He said the BLM is just at the tip of the iceberg and has a long way to go. Sandra said the group had a 3 and 1/2 hour safety course on ATV's and admitted they were a lot of fun. She noted that Jim Schmid had worked very hard to get a handle on managing trails in the Owyhee Front. Brenda said it was refreshing to see BLM "actually out there" checking things out and added that more people and more money were needed to continue this. Gil Green said that Jim Schmid should be commended for working weekends. He said there was a shared responsibility on everyone's part to distinguish "vandals" from "recreationists."

5. Pixley Basin Project Field Trip, May 18, 2005. Ken Reid was not present but information was provided by MJ after the RAC meeting. See appendix.

Glen said that the trip was a good day and very informative. He said permittees attended, as did the state director. He expressed disappointment that some of the critics of the Pixley Basin project did not attend.

District Fire Manager and Field Office Reports

1. LSRD-Fire Update. Rosemary Thomas said there was no fire management draft plan yet but that it would be completed by the end of the fiscal year. The draft team will meet with the field offices and then will ask the RAC subcommittee to meet with them hopefully within a month. She predicted an "active" fire season and said she was at 70% funding for fire fighting. She said she had helicopters from various sources but the BLM air tankers are grounded because they can't be certified as being absolutely safe and airworthy. The gap will be filled by crop-duster-type aircraft and more helicopters. Projects for the year include: juniper-cutting in Battle Creek; a complete EA for Garden Mountain; stewardship work around Placerville; a road into the Bennett Mountain

lookout site; a firewood sale from bug-kill at Bennett Mountain; a second burn at Reynolds Creek; a joint FS, BLM, and school grant for a power cogeneration plant at Council that uses woodchips and steam.

Don commented that Rosey's report was "better than the one he heard in Phoenix."

2. Owyhee Field Office. Jenna referred members to her handouts in the meeting notebook. The report to Judge Winmill shows that high-priority allotments were completed by the end of 2003. Interim measures still provide compliance with stubble-height requirements. South Mountain is still being worked on. The blue areas on the map in the report are scheduled for 2004.

She said BLM has hired a consultant, Les Weeks, to help them with OHV route designation using software he developed in California. A workshop was held for BLM and others to familiarize them with the software, including his "decision-tree" which is in the RAC meeting notebook. She encouraged the RAC OHV subcommittee to join in this process and learn how to use the system. She referred to wall maps showing examples of "open," "limit," and "open-mitigate" labels using the decision-tree system. She said BLM needs to work on trailhead maintenance. Brenda asked when permittees would be brought into the process. **ACTION:** Jenna will provide a list of dates to MJ for sending to the RAC as a whole and to the RAC OHV subcommittee.

Jenna also handed out a juniper management public comments sheet to RAC members.

3. Jarbidge Field Office. Eddie Guerrero referred to inclusions in the meeting notebook. Regarding the TNR conversions update, he said Western Watersheds is suing BLM on the interpretation of a rider and that he had participated in a conference call earlier this morning. The judge will take a few days to make a ruling. **ACTION:** Eddie will send the final report on the judge's decision and BLM response concerning temporary non-renewables to MJ for distribution to the RAC. The decision will affect 30 allotments. Glen commented that the issue is: Can a judge circumvent the stated will of Congress? If he/she feels that what they have instructed an agency to do is contrary to the laws, they can so rule. This kind of a judicial ruling can be appealed to a higher court, which is often done. Eddie referred to the meeting notebook inclusion regarding the status of the Brown's Bench Wind Energy Proposal, and said the new RAC members for that area have been nominated and that it was a "wonderful" list of people.

4. Four Rivers Field Office. Mitch Juarena, subbing for Deborah Epps, referred to handouts in the meeting notebook. He said BLM was using a tiered approach to address allotments that have cattle turnout with direct, then indirect, access to the Snake River. A BO is due in February 2005. He also briefly discussed the conservation agreement (handout) for slickspot peppergrass signed this year. He said BLM needs to coordinate fire management procedures with slickspot agreements.

Mormon crickets in the Boise Front will be given ground treatment only on a case-by-case basis. A Boise citizens' group asked that no aerial treatment be done, considering the cricket invasion a "natural occurrence."

The land exchange is summarized in the handouts.

Regarding the Hot Springs fatality and closure, he said it involved a young, drunk male who fell off the trail after midnight. There had previously been another fall, as well as

vandalism and a stabbing there, all occurring after darkness--therefore the closure of the trail at night or during "hazardous" hours.

The Higby Caves closure is a result of cultural destruction and a recurring trash problem. It will be opened based on special requests such as from the Boy Scouts and on advice from the tribes. He said that enforcement will be based on education, then warnings, then citations. All caves will be examined in the Cave Management Plan.

Follow-up Actions: MJ provided the latest two-sided copy of the fire rehab plan, complete with website and contact information.

The date for the next meeting of the RAC is Tuesday, 24, 2005. Bill said RAC should decide then whether the first meeting of the next year should be in October or November.

Don adjourned the meeting at 5:55 pm.

Respectfully submitted by
Becky Desmond, note-taker

Appendix

Owyhee Field Office.

Through a Cooperative Agreement, the BLM Lower Snake River District is sponsoring a Field Inquiry Research Experience, "FIRE Up For Summer". FIRE Up For Summer is a program designed for high school students who want to earn high school or college credits. The students will be researching, collecting data, GIS/GPS mapping and preparing presentations using Pixley Basin Project pre- and post-burn research areas located in the BLM Owyhee Field Office. These efforts will assist BLM in its post-burn analysis and monitoring over the project area.

On May 3, 2004, Fire Use Specialist Steve Jirik took teachers from three participating high schools to the Pixley Basin to coordinate data collection, plant identification and GIS/GPS information. Students will begin participating in the program on June 7 and will present the results of their research on June 25, 2004, at the Hewlett Packard Laser Technology Campus Auditorium located in Boise, ID. (Contact: Steve Jirik, 208-384-3337).